

REMARKS

This paper is filed responsive to the Office Action mailed July 13, 2005. Presently, claims 10 to 26 are pending in the application, claims 1 to 9 being cancelled by the present amendment. Claims 10 to 26 stand rejected under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1 to 47 of U.S. Patent No. 6,360,595 to Lin et al. Claims 10 to 26 also stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over Lin et al. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections and request reconsideration and reexamination of the application.

The Examiner has rejected all of the pending claims under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over Lin et al. An appropriate terminal disclaimer is enclosed, thereby mooting the rejection.

The Examiner has rejected all of the pending claims as being anticipated under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) by Lin et al. However, the present application is a continuation of the application which matured into Lin et al. Accordingly, Lin et al. is not properly within the prior art for the present application.

Applicants submit that the application is presently in condition for allowance and request favorable reconsideration and early notice of allowance. If it would speed prosecution, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Andrew C. Farmer/
Andrew C. Farmer
Reg. No. 35,868

Johnson & Johnson
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza
New Brunswick, NJ 08933
(732) 524-2825
Dated: October 13, 2005